

**New Mexico - Tucumcari Field Office**  
**FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands**

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. \_\_\_\_\_ Date: **1/28/2005**  
 City/Zip: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land ☒ Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_\_ Final Rating \_\_\_\_\_

**1. Plants - 65 Potential Points (25% of Total)**

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment.	Potential Points	Points - Bench Mark	Points - After
<b>Rangelands:</b>	SI of 76-100 w/trend up or not apparent	<input type="text"/>	% <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> = <input type="text"/>	65		
Ecological	SI of 51-75 with upward trend	<input type="text"/>	% <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> = <input type="text"/>	60		
Site	SI of 51-75 with downward trend	<input type="text"/>	% <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> = <input type="text"/>	50		
Similarity	SI of 26-50 with upward trend	<input type="text"/>	% <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> = <input type="text"/>	40		
Index	SI of 26-50 with downward trend	<input type="text"/>	% <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> = <input type="text"/>	30		
(SI)*	SI of 0-25 with upward trend	<input type="text"/>	% <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> = <input type="text"/>	20		
	SI of 0-25 with downward trend	<input type="text"/>	% <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> + <input type="text"/> = <input type="text"/>	0		
<b>Riparian</b>	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark: <input type="text"/>	% <input type="text"/>	N/A		
<b>Grazed Forest:</b>	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark: <input type="text"/>	% <input type="text"/>	N/A		
<b>1. Plants Total</b>		100	% Total	100		

**2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 170 Potential Points (65% of Total)**

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans.			Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	Points - After
<b>Soil Erosion - Wind</b>					
Interseeding (550)			10		
Critical Area Treatment (342)			15		
<b>Soil Erosion - Ephemeral Gully Concentrated Flow</b>					
Minor erosion control structures (362), (410)			10		
<b>Water Quantity &amp; Water Quality</b>					
Brush Control Riparian Areas (314)			10		
Brush Control Heavy (314)			20		
Brush Control Medium (314)			10		
Brush Control Light (314)			5		
<b>Plants Condition: Noxious and Invasive Plants</b>					
Brush Control Heavy (314)			30		
Brush Control Medium (314)			25		
Brush Control Light (314)			20		
<b>Animals Domestic: Drinking Water</b>					
Brush Control (314)			5		
Water Development (516), (614), (642), (378)			20		
<b>Animals Domestic: Productivity, Health and Vigor</b>					
Brush Control (314)			5		
Fence (382)			25		
<b>Animals Wildlife: Inadequate Water</b>					
Brush Control (314)			5		
Wildlife Water (648)			5		
<b>Animals Wildlife: Inadequate Cover/Shelter</b>					
Brush Control (314)			5		
Windbreak (380)			5		
<b>Air and Water Quality Practices</b> are addressed in the other resource areas					
<b>2. Conservation Practice Selection</b>			<b>Total:</b>		

NOTE: Any single type of conservation practice will be limited to \$50,000 in cost share per contract.

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 1/28/2005  
City/Zip: \_\_\_\_\_  
Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land X \_\_\_\_\_ Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_\_ **Final Rating** \_\_\_\_\_

	Potential Points	Benchmark	Points - After
A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species (Prairie Dogs, Prairie Chicken)	5		
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5		
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	N/A		
D. This land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	N/A		
E. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of Class A, Class B, and/or Class C noxious weeds, as designated by NMDA.	15		
<b>3. Other Considerations</b>	<b>Total:</b>		

<b>Total Points (After minus Benchmark):</b>	Sec 1	Sec 2	Sec 3	Total for Worksheet
--	-------	-------	-------	---------------------

Date \_\_\_\_\_

FY 2004 EQIP PRACTICE - COST WORKSHEET	
Name: _____	Date _____
FSN: _____	1/28/2005
Tract: _____	

Date 1/28/2005

Tract: \_\_\_\_\_

CONSERVATION PRACTICE	AMOUNT	UNIT	COST	% CS	COST SHARE APPROX.	PRODUCERS APPROX. COST
			TOTAL COST:			

Total \$